The Board of Trustees of The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill met in regular session in the Chancellor’s Ballroom of The Carolina Inn on May 24, 1996. Chairman Armfield called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

**ADMINISTRATION OF OATH OF OFFICE**

The Honorable Joan Terry, Clerk of Court for Orange County, administered the Oath of Office to Student Body President Aaron M. Nelson.

**ROLL CALL**

Members Present:  
William J. Armfield, IV, Chairman  
William R. Jordan, Vice Chairman  
Anne W. Cates, Secretary  
Walter R. Davis  
James E. S. Hynes  
Aaron M. Nelson  
David E. Pardue, Jr.  
Charles A. Sanders  
Richard Y. Stevens  
David J. Whichard, II  
Annette Fairless Wood

Members Absent:  
Angela R. Bryant  
Cressie H. Thigpen, Jr.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Mrs. Cates moved approval of the minutes of the regular meeting of the Board on March 22, 1996. Ms. Wood seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

**CHAIRMAN'S REPORT**

Chairman Armfield referred to the fire tragedy which occurred on Sunday, May 12, and requested that Ms. Wood read a Resolution in support of the parents of the victims of the fire.

**RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE PARENTS OF THE VICTIMS OF THE MAY 12, 1996, FIRE**

WHEREAS, the University grieves the loss of five of its best and brightest students;

WHEREAS, they will live on in our hearts and minds as symbols of our highest hopes for the future;

WHEREAS, their example will inspire us all to make the most of the talents and time that we share together;

AND WHEREAS, we have faith that they are now in a place free from imperfection and pain;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the University hopes that comfort will soon come to the family and friends of their late sons and daughters and that the parents of those fine young people will find refuge in the warmth and support of their extended Carolina family.

Our thoughts are with you during this painful time and will remain with you perpetually.

CHANCELLOR’S REPORT

Chancellor Hooker began by congratulating Aaron Nelson. He stated that he had already worked quite a bit with Mr. Nelson and said that it was a joy to do so. He remarked that they are in almost complete agreement on many issues of importance to improving the quality of the undergraduate experience at the University and thinks the year ahead will be very productive working together.

The Chancellor thanked the community for its response to the May 12 fire, particularly Dan Jones, the Chapel Hill fire chief, who was as professional in his behavior as any person he had ever worked with in all of his years in higher education. He was also enormously impressed by the University's Police Department and especially Chief Don Gold. He remarked that The Carolina Inn and its staff could not have been more forthcoming, accommodating, consoling, and professional in its response. The Chancellor thanked Terry Murphy, general manager of The Carolina Inn, and his staff for their response. He thanked the University’s counseling staff for rising to the occasion in a way that had just inspired him. He said that if there was any silver lining to the very dark cloud on that day it was the way in which the community came together to respond to the tragedy.

He stated that alcohol did not cause the fire and alcohol did not cause the deaths, but the occasion has highlighted our recognition that at this campus (as well as virtually every campus in the country) there is a significant substance abuse problem. He said it was his intention to do everything within his power to make a significant difference in addressing that problem. The Chancellor said that he is not willing to do anything that he thinks would be ineffectual, showy, meretricious, and not really produce significant results; therefore, he is still searching his soul and all of the
knowledge that exists in this area for what can be done effectively. He is convinced that nothing he proclaims in the way of policy will be effective unless it has a buy-in from the entire community and, most especially, the student community. He is going to appoint a substance abuse task force which will have strong student and faculty representation, as well as representation from parents of our students, alumni, members of the Board of Trustees, and staff of the University. He stated that he will ask this group not to do what he thinks is impossible for us to do, which is to tackle and address significantly a national problem, which we know from the Harvard study begins in the early years of high school and is ubiquitous in the United States among the group of fifteen to twenty-five year olds. This task force should look specifically at things we can do at Carolina that will begin, to the extent possible, to change the culture here—mindful that we exist in the context of a broader culture, which presents daunting problems for our doing things of significance here. There are things we know that we can do. For instance, we expect that the Intellectual Climate Task Force, which is now under way, will be able to begin enhancing the intellectual atmosphere on campus in ways that will provide alternatives to alcohol and alcohol infused parties in providing stress relief for students and providing an aversion to students—alternative activities to hitting the bars on Franklin Street. That is something that he knows we can do, and will do, and he will look to the Intellectual Climate Task Force and to the Substance Abuse Task Force for specific suggestions.

One of the things he thinks would be efficacious is to delay fraternity rush until the spring semester. When freshmen come in and are subjected to the pressures of fraternity rush in the very first weeks of school, it probably sends an inappropriate message about the importance of social acceptance to success at Chapel Hill. It certainly detracts from them getting their feet on the ground academically; therefore, he thinks it makes sense. At the present time, he is not proclaiming we will do that because it would be inappropriate since he has not talked to Ron Binder, the director of Greek Affairs, and he will not proclaim the policy until he has the
opportunity to talk to Mr. Binder. He wanted to let the Board know that, in his judgment, this is something that should be done and he will have to be persuaded otherwise.

Chancellor Hooker remarked about the budget news coming out of Raleigh this week. He said that it is profoundly distressing to him that the faculty and staff have not been offered a higher pay raise than is included in the House Budget. The three per cent pay raise does not acknowledge the importance of the personnel to everything that is done and he is distressed by that. However, he is distressed more profoundly because it seems to him that the State of North Carolina is at risk of doing severe damage to itself and its future if it does not take this occasion of economic prosperity to reinvest in ensuring our economic future by investing in higher education, in K-12, and in our community colleges. There is no doubt in his mind, as he has said ad nauseam, that the competitive advantage of the State of North Carolina, its economic advantage in the 21st Century, is going to be secured only by the extent to which we invest wisely in cultivating and nurturing the development to brain power in this state. That means this is the time we should be investing in education--K-12, community colleges, and the four-year institutions in our University of North Carolina System. The Chancellor said that he hopes the legislature, before final action is taken on the budget, will recognize that fact and will provide for a significantly higher level of funding for education, for higher education, and for faculty and staff pay raises. We are in the midst of the budget period so it is too soon to wail and moan, but it is not too soon to be frightened for our own welfare--by that, he means the State of North Carolina, not the University.

The Chancellor remarked that one of the things we know about the future is that there is going to be a significant increase in the number of high school graduates coming out of North Carolina high schools between now and any indexical year for which there is demographic data, that is eighteen years in to the future, and it is appropriate for the legislature, as it has shown itself willing to do, to ask the question, how do we accommodate the increased demand
that there will be on colleges to accommodate this significant
increase in high school graduates? The legislature mandated a
study from the Board of Governors last year to address this
question. The Board of Governors has completed its study now and
has asked each of the campuses to undertake a study of how they
appropriately can respond to this increased demand. The
Chancellor said that he thinks it is very important for us to look
thoughtfully at the question, how does UNC-Chapel Hill respond to
meeting the increased need for educating larger numbers of North
Carolina high school graduates? Even assuming that net
immigration does not increase and the growth curve is sharply
upward (we can’t really make that assumption), there could be as
much as a 25% increase in the high school graduating class between
now and the year 2012. That assumes that we will also be
successful, as he is convinced we will, in putting more emphasis on
the importance of high school graduation in our public schools; and,
as we work to improve our public schools, we will see high school
graduations go up. We then need to be prepared to educate those
graduates. He thinks, as he has said before, that we would be
unrealistic to think that we could grow significantly here. We are out
of classroom space now. We cannot significantly add to our
enrollment without overtaxing our facilities. The only way we could
increase enrollment is to construct new facilities and, given the time
horizon required for that, we don’t have time. What this campus
could do is to expand its offerings in the evening using the same
facilities that are overtaxed in the day, but underutilized in the
evening, and it could use its facilities better on the weekends.
Therefore, we could offer degree programs through the evening
college and be a weekend college—something that we do not now
do—and that would significantly address the problem. He is going to
work with the faculty, particularly the Executive Committee of the
Faculty Council, to ask the question, how can we respond to the
state’s need?—by developing programs such as evening college
degree programs and weekend programs as an alternative to doing
what he is convinced we do not have the capacity to do, and that is
to significantly increase enrollment in our day programs—the baccalaureate programs.

The Chancellor said that it had been his intention to give an end-of-the-year retrospective of what had been accomplished this year. He sat down a couple of days ago to write out his notes for that end-of-the-year retrospective and it began to look self-congratulatory, so what he decided to do instead of giving it orally, was to write it out in a formal report to the Board. This should be done this summer.

NEPOTISM REPORT

In accordance with the policy concerning the employment of related persons adopted by the Board of Governors of The University of North Carolina on April 13, 1973, Chancellor Hooker presented a report where the terms of the policy were applied during the year. (Attachment A)

STUDENT BODY PRESIDENT’S REPORT

Mr. Nelson stated that he too, after a year of working with Chancellor Hooker, is extremely pleased and very happy to get to have philosophical discussions, as well as talking about University matters. He commented that the only criticism he had was that the Chancellor is difficult to keep up with—at 7:15 a.m. the Chancellor’s car, the Provost’s car, and Dr. Floyd’s car are already parked and are almost always here past 8 or 9 p.m. He can stay late, but that’s way too early! He hopes there can be more evening meetings; and, in deference to students, maybe the Board of Trustees would meet at 9:00 p.m. some evening as well.

He also commented briefly on the May 12 fire. He said that it has been really difficult on campus. The students are confused and bewildered due to the period of transition for them. Students had gone home, some still do not know, and some who were good friends of the victims had to be contacted at home or heard about it over CNN. When students come back in the fall there is going to be a need again to bring people together and allow them to mourn. Therefore, they are hoping there will be a memorial service. They did not want to have one during the summer because some people
would be left out of the opportunity to participate so they are beginning to plan a service to be held in the fall. He remarked that, in response to the fire, the community acted in a way that absolutely amazed him. There was a meeting which pulled together the campus ministries, the Chapel Hill Police Department, the University Police Department, the dean of students, the chair of the faculty, people from News Services, and the Carolina Inn people. Everyone came together and really stepped up in this time of tragedy and he found that to be so impressive and could not be more proud of his University.

He said that Student Government will be putting together a group to work with students in the Greek System, with Greek Affairs, the fire department, and other people in the University and the town, to put together an educational program that will be taken to the fraternities and the sororities--house to house--to talk about fire safety--that they have fire escape plans and do more than just meet the bare minimum of the town's requirements. They would also like to hold a benefit concert in the fall to raise money for fire safety equipment. They are having a brainstorming group on sprinklers, if any of the members of the Board would like to participate, on June 4 to bring everybody who is talking about sprinklers together in the same room--members of the town council and members of the University community--to talk about where we are with sprinklers. Student Government will facilitate this meeting.

He expressed his excitement to be serving on the Board and appreciates the opportunity to work with everyone on the Board and to represent the students and will try to speak for them, as well as relay information back to the students about the Board. He said that, frequently, there is a misconception, or miscommunication, of what exactly are the Board’s interests. The students don’t know who the members of the Board are and he thinks it would be wonderful if The Daily Tar Heel would run a profile on members of the Board, or if there were some way to get information out to the students regarding the Board members. Perhaps sometimes students could come to a Board meeting or they could be invited to dinner with the
Board members. It is important that they know in whose trust they are and who the persons are who are looking out for them.

Mr. Nelson said he is excited about the upcoming year and working with Chancellor Hooker, Dr. Floyd, and Dr. Richardson. He said they are leading the University to a wonderful place and have been extremely accessible to the students and very responsive to the student requests and needs. He thanked them for that.

He distributed information containing the Executive Branch Cabinet Positions and Phone Numbers, Platform, and Executive Branch Positions Description Packet. A copy of this information is on file in the Office of the Assistant Secretary. He stated that over 175 people had applied to work in the Executive Branch of Student Government. The interest of student government on campus is tremendous. With the assistance of an amazing transition team headed up by John Dervin, who is now the president of the Association of Student Governments and attends this University and is a great asset to the University, they were able to fill approximately 160 in student government. He extended an invitation to the Board members to contact these members of the Executive Branch by phone or to personally stop by the office and visit with these cabinet members. He referred to the Platform and said that it was from the campaign and does not include the recent hot topics that will be addressed. He presented a brief overview of the Executive Branch Description Packet/Office of the Student Body President. He said that it has been a great source of energy to have approximately 160 people involved in working for the same goals and objectives, although at some times it can be administratively difficult; however, they are having fun with it.

Mr. Nelson said that he thinks their role in student government is to work for the betterment of this University and to enhance the lives of students on campus. They want to do that through empowering students, not always speaking for students but being a microphone for them to enhance their voice to empower them to speak for themselves. They want to be a resource for students through their unique access to finances, manpower, and the
administration. They want student groups and other students to call on them and use them as a resource for that and they see it as their role to actively seek out student opinion and advocate on their behalf what their needs are or what they would like to see accomplished in the next year. They want to be more than just a poll taker--to show some leadership and take hard stands on tough issues. He said that the agenda shown on the Platform was a good outline of the things they are going to try to tackle and accomplish. However, there are some recent things that have come up and he described these to the Board.

The first item is the Ambassador Program. Mr. Nelson said the Executive Branch thinks that the state has forgotten what is done at Carolina for them. They see the University as a black hole where they pour money in and don’t get anything out of it. He said that we need to reconvince the state what is being done here to benefit the State of North Carolina. The Ambassador Program identifies two students for every legislative district of the Upper and Lower House. The role of these students is not to lobby the legislature, but to get information about what student concerns and issues are and what the issues of the University are. A person from one county can talk to another person from that same county, instead of someone from one county talking to someone from a different county. These students need to be meeting with members of their county’s legislature. That should be powerful. This should be a grassroots opportunity to return to the people of the state with information. They will provide packets of information to these students so that when they go home on Fall or Spring Break, they can go to their town council, the PTA, the county commissioners, the local churches, and talk about Carolina at home so they can begin to talk about the grant money that they are bringing in to the state; the fact that 60% of the dentists in their community are there because of Carolina--from Charlotte, Chapel Hill, or wherever from the University System. When the people can start to realize what Carolina is doing for them, then the legislators will begin to reflect that. It is hoped that this will turn into a great program. It is beginning to get off the
ground now with 45 ambassadors. They hope to increase the number to 200 over the summer and the beginning of the year. He requested that the Board members assist in any way they feel they can and he hopes that the Board members will be available to be point people in their respective areas to help lead this siege. That would be great.

The other item is setting up an out-of-state student association to work for the special needs of out-of-state students, which would include things such issues as transportation, apartment finding, and tuition increase. Currently, the out-of-state students pay 90% of the cost of education and any significant increase now would put them over 100% of the cost of their education. It’s important that they organize so they can also have a support group. When someone shows up from, for instance, New Hampshire, that student needs to be able to locate another student from New Hampshire. There’s a tremendous loneliness until you can find that kind of support group.

Mr. Nelson reported that right now they are working on the legislative information spreading--taking information to the legislature about the University and the priorities. The priorities in Student Government are very similar to those of the University. The top two are remission levels and health care. Transit is another top issue--working with the town on a long-range planning initiative so that as federal subsidies continue to dry up we can find better ways other than raising bus fares every time to deal with that.

Mr. Nelson stated that after the swastikas on campus there were over 300 students who signed a petition saying that they would like to study, or at least take a look at setting up, a hate crimes legislation for the state and towns of how that affects us here at the University or something like that in our honor system where crimes of prejudice should be punished differently. They would like to get together a focus group of students to talk about that and to, in general, increase the quality of life for students on this campus--from an ATM on South Campus to a hot line where students could call in at all times to find out what activities are taking place on campus so they can find something to do on campus.
Lastly, Mr. Nelson referred to the Freshman Experience which everyone has talked about. He said that student government would push very hard because it is extremely important to set up a Freshman Experience class and that all of the people who are interested have an opportunity to participate in its discussions. They will be calling together very soon, over the next three to four weeks, a group of people to talk about the Freshman Experience and where it stands and what they would like to see it do for the University and also what kinds of things should be included in it.

REPORT OF THE STUDENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Mr. Whichard presented the following items previously presented to the Committee.

Substance Abuse Treatment and Prevention Update

This report was carried over from the March meeting. Mr. Whichard reported that reports were presented by Dr. Judith Cowan, director, Student Health Services; Sue Gray, director of Health Education, Student Health Services; and Bill Riddick, dean of The Governor’s Academy for Prevention Professionals. The reports were very comprehensive. The Committee felt that good progress is being made and the administration and staff on campus is dealing effectively with this program.

Proposed Amendments to Student Appeal Procedures

Mr. Whichard reported that the Committee adopted proposals which had already been adopted by the Student Government Association with the approval of the faculty on campus and at the proper time recommend to the Board that the Board change its procedures to conform with those changes. Mr. Whichard moved, seconded by Mr. Stevens, that the proposed amendments to the student process and procedures proposed for changes in the trustee organization be adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

(Attachment B)

REPORT OF THE FINANCE AND BUSINESS COMMITTEE

Ms. Wood presented the following items previously approved by the Committee.
Approval of Design of Additions to the Institute of Marine Sciences, Morehead City

Mr. Gordon Rutherford, director, Facilities Planning and Design, presented information concerning this item.

Ms. Wood moved to approve the design of additions to the Institute of Marine Sciences, Morehead City. Dr. Sanders seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment C)

Approval of Design of the Health Affairs Bookstore

Mr. Rutherford presented information concerning this item.

Ms. Wood moved to approve the design of the Health Affairs Bookstore. Dr. Sanders seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment D)

Approval of Design of the UNC Hospitals/UNC Chapel Hill Day Care Center

Mr. Rutherford introduced Ms. Mary Beck, director, Planning & Program Development, UNC Hospitals, who presented information concerning this item.

Dr. Jordan moved to approve the design of the UNC Hospitals/UNC Chapel Hill Day Care Center. Mrs. Cates seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment E)

Financing Plan for the Renovation of Food Service Facilities

Ms. Wood moved to approve the financing plan for the renovation of Food Service Facilities. Mrs. Cates seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment F)

Selection of an Architect for Design of the Renovation of Food Service Facilities

Ms. Wood moved to approve the selection of Moser Mayer Phoenix Associates, P.A., as architects for design of the Renovation of Food Service Facilities. Mr. Pardue seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment G)

Selection of an Architect for Design of the Medical Biomolecular Research Building (School of Medicine)

Ms. Wood moved to approve the selection of Lord Aeck Sargent as architects for design of the Medical Biomolecular
Research Building. Mr. Pardue seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment H)

Selection of an Architect for Design of an Addition to Beard Hall (School of Pharmacy)

Ms. Wood moved to approve the selection of HDR, Inc. as architects for design of an addition to Beard Hall. Mr. Pardue seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment I)

Selection of an Engineer for an Environmental Study of the Old Sanitary Landfill

Ms. Wood moved to approve the selection of RUST Environment & Infrastructure to perform an environmental study of the old landfill. Mr. Pardue seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment J)

1996-97 Traffic and Parking Ordinance

Mr. Hynes moved to approve the Ordinance Regulating Traffic and Parking on the Campus of The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, effective August 15, 1996. Dr. Sanders seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment K)

Acquisition by Lease of Approximately 14,130 Square Feet of Office Space from Franklin Street Plaza, Ltd.

Ms. Wood moved to approve to acquire by lease approximately 14,130 square feet of office and related space from Franklin Street Plaza, Ltd. for the UNC-CH, Frank Porter Graham Child Development Center, for a term of two years, with two one-year renewal options, beginning July 1, 1996, at an initial annual cost of $201,352.50 or $14.25 per square foot, including utilities and janitorial services, with a rental cost adjustment based on increases in operating costs over a base year of 1995, subject to an annual cap of $0.25 per square foot, effective January 1, 1997. Mr. Pardue seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment L)

Acquisition by Lease of Approximately 14,264 Square Feet of Office Space from Frank H. Kenan

Ms. Wood moved to approve to acquire by lease approximately 14,264 square feet of office space from Frank H. Kenan for the UNC Physicians & Associates for a term of three years commencing June
1, 1996, with two one-year renewal options, at an initial annual cost of $213,960.00 or $15.00 per square foot, plus a pro-rata share (12.96%) of property tax for the property, including utilities and janitorial services, with a rental increase of 3% per year beginning in year #2. Mr. Pardue seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment M)

**Acquisition by Lease of Approximately 3,062 Square Feet for the Women's Health Initiative**

Ms. Wood moved to approve to acquire by lease approximately 3,062 square feet of office and related space from Chapel Hill Club, LLC for the School of Medicine, Women’s Health Initiative, Clinical Trials and Observation Study for a term of five years at an initial annual cost not to exceed $45,930.00 per annum, including utilities and janitorial services with an annual rent increase beginning the second year of the lease based on the Consumer Price Index not to exceed 5% per annum. Mr. Pardue seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment N)

**Intent to Reimburse - Kenan Stadium Expansion**

Mr. Whichard moved to approve acceptance of the Proposed Resolution of The Board of Trustees of The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill declaring the intent of The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill to reimburse itself for capital expenditures incurred in connection with the expansion and renovation of Kenan Memorial Stadium from the proceeds of certain tax-exempt obligations to be issued during the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 1997. Mr. Pardue seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment O)

**Ratification of Academic Enhancement Funds Mail Ballot**

Ms. Wood moved to ratify the Academic Enhancement Funds mail ballot dated April 1, 1996. Mr. Stevens seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment P)

**Planning for the University's Outlying Properties**

Ms. Wood requested Mr. Bruce Runberg, associate vice chancellor, Facilities Management, present a summary of the
presentation to the committee by JJR. He reported that JJR will report back to the Board in September 1996.

Chairman Armfield thanked Ms. Wood for her report and recognized that Mr. Robert Eubanks has resigned from the Investment Committee after fourteen years of very caring service. He was former chairman of the Board and Chairman Armfield requested that a resolution be prepared and read at the next Trustees meeting in July.

DEVELOPMENT

Development Update

Matt Kupec, vice chancellor for University Advancement, presented the Development Office update report regarding the level of activity and current contributions in the office. He emphasized the information contained in two press releases. The first release concerned the Kenan Trust pledge of $2 Million for the executive business center and the second release concerned Sunstar Inc., a Japanese manufacturer, and their $333,000 endowment of a dental school professorship. A copy of this report is on file in the Office of the Assistant Secretary.

Board of Visitors Elections

Mrs. Cates moved, seconded by Mr. Hynes, that the Board elect the following persons for the Board of Visitors, Class of 2000. The motion carried unanimously.

George B. Abercrombie
Michael C. Bell
Edwin B. Borden, Jr.
Erskine B. Bowles
Luther P. Cochrane
Marion A. Cowell, Jr.
Phyllis S. Cowell
Thomas A. Cozart
Mary Anne J. Dickson
Charles G. Duckett
Robert W. Eaves, Jr.
Dennis B. Gillings
Jonathan H. Godshall
Bernard Gray
Frank B. Holding, Jr.
Edgar Love III
Jane S. Monroe
Rolfe Neill
Beverly M. Perdue
Ernest H. Pitt
Motion to go into Closed Session

Mrs. Cates moved that the Board go into closed session to prevent the disclosure of privileged information under 126.22 and following of the North Carolina General Statutes or regulations under The Family Educational Rights Act. to prevent the premature disclosure of an honorary award or scholarship, to consult with our attorney to protect the attorney-client privilege, to consider and give instructions concerning a potential or actual claim, administrative procedure, or judicial action, to establish or instruct the staff or agent concerning the negotiation of the amount of compensation or other terms of an employment contract, and to consider the qualifications, competence, performance, conditions of appointment of a public officer or employee or prospective public officer or employee. The following persons were instructed to remain for the closed session: Members of the Board, Chancellor Hooker, Elson Floyd, Susan Ehringhaus, Stephen Birdsall, Garland Hershey, Matt Kupec, Michael Simmons, Beverly Long, Dick Edwards, and Marsha Ferrin. Mr. Whichard seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

CLOSED SESSION

DEVELOPMENT

Report of the Committee on Honorary Degrees and Special Awards

Beverly W. Long, chair of the Committee on Honorary Degrees and Special Awards, presented the report of the committee for honorary degrees to be presented at the 1997 Commencement. A copy of the report is on file in the Office of the Assistant Secretary.
There was a considerable amount of discussion concerning the process currently in effect with regard to the selection of the nominees and the number of degrees awarded.

Chairman Armfield requested that the Assistant Secretary schedule a meeting on Thursday, July 25, during the afternoon, with the members of the Committee on Honorary Degrees and Special Awards and interested members of the Board to discuss this nomination process. Chairman Armfield suggested that this should become an annual meeting.

After further discussion, Mr. Whichard moved, seconded by Mr. Pardue, the acceptance of the recommendation of the Honorary Degrees and Special Awards Committee of the 1997 nomination of recipients for honorary degrees.

Report of the Naming Committee

Matt Kupec presented the report of the Naming Committee regarding the School of Social Work. Ms. Wood moved, seconded by Dr. Sanders, approval of the report as presented. The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Kupec then presented the report of the Naming Committee regarding the College of Arts & Sciences. Dr. Sanders moved, seconded by Ms. Wood, approval of the report as presented. The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Kupec then presented the report of the Naming Committee regarding the School of Journalism. Mr. Whichard moved, seconded by Dr. Sanders, approval of the report as presented. The motion carried unanimously.

A copy of the report is on file in the Office of the Assistant Secretary.

STUDENT APPEAL

Mrs. Cates reported on a student appeal which was held on May 23, 1996. Mr. Whichard moved, seconded by Mr. Hynes, to sustain the decision made by the Chancellor and Dr. Elson Floyd. The motion carried unanimously.
LEGAL ADVICE

Ms. Susan Ehringhaus distributed a Proposed Resolution of the Board of Trustees, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. This resolution concerns possible litigation regarding a patent matter. Dr. Jordan moved, seconded by Mr. Whichard, to approve the resolution. The motion carried unanimously. A copy of the resolution is on file in the Office of the Assistant Secretary.

Ms. Ehringhaus updated the Board on legal matters.

REPORT OF THE ACADEMIC AFFAIRS AND PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

Personnel Matters for Information

Mrs. Cates presented personnel matters for information not requiring action by the Board. (Attachment Q)

Personnel Matters for Consultation

Mrs. Cates moved approval to authorize the Chancellor to forward to the President and the Board of Governors, the personnel report and recommendations presented for consultation. The motion was seconded by Dr. Sanders and it carried unanimously.

(Attachment R)

Personnel Matters for Action in Academic Affairs

Mrs. Cates presented the following personnel changes in Academic Affairs for discussion: Appointments, Reappointment At Same Rank, and Promotion. (Attachment S)

Personnel Matters for Action in Health Affairs

Mrs. Cates presented the following personnel changes in Health Affairs for discussion: Designation To Named Professorship, Appointments, Reappointments At Same Rank, and Promotion. (Attachment T)

OPEN SESSION

Mr. Whichard moved to reconvene in open session. Dr. Sanders seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.
REPORT OF THE ACADEMIC AFFAIRS AND PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

Mrs. Cates moved approval of personnel changes in Academic Affairs. Mr. Stevens seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment S)

Mrs. Cates moved approval of personnel changes in Health Affairs. Mr. Hynes seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment T)

Ratification of the Mail Ballot

Mrs. Cates moved to ratify the mail ballot dated April 18, 1996. Dr. Sanders seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. (Attachment U)

REPORT OF THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Dr. Sanders moved, seconded by Mr. Stevens, that the following individuals continue to serve as officers of the Board of Trustees: Mr. William J. Armfield, IV, Chairman; Dr. William R. Jordan, Vice Chairman; and Mrs. Anne W. Cates, Secretary. The motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, Chairman Armfield adjourned the meeting at 10:50 a.m.

___________________________
Assistant Secretary