

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING
The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

The Board of Trustees met in regular session in the Faculty Lounge of The Morehead Building on March 22, 2001 at 8:35 a.m. Chairman Cates presided.

The Finance and Business Committee and the Development Committee met as a Committee of the Whole.

ROLL CALL

Assistant Secretary Brenda Kirby called the roll and the following members were present:

Anne W. Cates, Chairman
Dr. William R. Jordan, Vice Chairman
David E. Pardue, Jr., Secretary
William J. Armfield, IV
Timothy B. Burnett
James E. S. Hynes
Jean Almand Kitchin
Robert B. Matthews
Richard Y. Stevens
Cressie H. Thigpen, Jr.
Richard T. Williams

The following members were absent:

Walter R. Davis (out of town)
Dr. Charles A. Sanders

CONSENT AGENDA

Approval of Minutes

On motion of Mr. Williams, seconded by Mr. Thigpen, the minutes of the regular meeting of January 25, 2001 and the minutes of the special meeting of February 22, 2001 were approved as distributed.

Approval of Personnel Items

On motion of Mr. Williams, seconded by Mr. Thigpen, the following personnel items were approved as distributed:

- Personnel Changes for Information (ATTACHMENT A)
- Personnel Changes in Academic Affairs (ATTACHMENT B)
- Personnel Changes in Health Affairs (ATTACHMENT C)
- Recommendations for Exceptions to (ATTACHMENT D)
Employment Policies Annual Leave Provisions
- Employment Agreement (ATTACHMENT E)

Ratification of Mail Ballots

On motion of Mr. Williams, seconded by Mr. Thigpen, the following mail ballots were approved as distributed:

- Mail Ballot Dated February 14, 2001 (ATTACHMENT F)
- Mail Ballot Dated February 26, 2001 (ATTACHMENT G)

CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS

Chairman Cates welcomed Provost Robert Shelton to the Board meeting. She congratulated Student Body President-Elect Justin Young and also welcomed him to the meeting.

Chairman Cates stated that the Board's primary business at this meeting would be the Campus Master Plan, which has been a long and inclusive process. The Board has listened to the concerns of the community and has tried to be responsive to those concerns. She stated that the members of the Board of Trustees are responsible for the physical development of the campus.

Chairman Cates commented that in April it would be one year since Chancellor Moeser was introduced by President Broad to the Board of Governors and the University community. She stated that Chancellor Moeser has proven time and again that he is the right person to guide the University into a 21st Century Golden Age. In December, Chancellor Moeser began a series of listen and learn talks on other campuses. On February 1, Provost Shelton joined him in the talks. The Chancellor has visited many cities in North Carolina and has attended alumni events, met with potential donors, and talked to newspaper editorial boards. He has also visited many cities out of the State of North Carolina and has met with North Carolina's Federal Legislative Delegation in Washington, DC. He recently accepted a four-year appointment to serve as a member of the North Carolina Symphony Society and will also serve on the Symphony's Board of Trustees. Last week, Chancellor Moeser visited Monterrey Tech in Mexico, which is the number one university in Latin America and a worldwide leader in technology-enabled learning. The Kenan-Flagler Business School has ties with Monterrey Tech. The Chancellor gave a talk on the globalization of higher education in the information age, which was broadcast to all of the campuses in the Monterrey System.

Chairman Cates concluded her remarks by stating there was a lot to be proud of today, including the University's academic reputation, as well as the bright future of the University which is assured by the bond dollars; and also a pride in that the University was able to attract such an outstanding Chancellor as James Moeser to take the helm at this critical juncture. She thanked Chancellor Moeser and the Board gave Chancellor Moeser a round of applause.

Chairman Cates requested Secretary Pardue read the following resolution.

**RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION
FOR
BRAD MATTHEWS**

WHEREAS, Brad Matthews served as president of The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Student Body in 2000-2001 and, as such, also contributed to the leadership of this campus as a member of the University's Board of Trustees;

WHEREAS, as the University moved into the 21st Century and embarked on what promises to be an unprecedented period of building and enrollment growth, Brad was there to provide a strong and thoughtful voice for his fellow students, to relay their concerns and desires to the University Administration and to take the Administration's messages back to his constituents;

WHEREAS, Brad and his administration were critical players in helping shape the University's future as they worked tirelessly to educate students and other critical constituencies about the importance of the State's Higher Education Bond Referendum, which was approved by voters in November and will pump nearly \$500 million into the Chapel Hill campus;

WHEREAS, Brad worked with members of his administration to boost student voter turnout for the referendum and to bring a satellite voting site to campus, making voting more convenient for members of the University community;

WHEREAS, as a member of the Executive Steering Team working on Carolina's Master Plan, Brad worked diligently to help protect and enhance the learning environment for our students, as it is expressed in our physical facilities;

WHEREAS, Brad also has worked hard to represent the needs and desires of his fellow students on such important issues as fare-free transit;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees of The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill congratulates Brad Matthews on a job well done and expresses its deepest appreciation for his outstanding work on behalf of the University, its student body, and once and future Tar Heels.

The Board unanimously approved adoption of the resolution and applauded Mr. Matthews.

NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Chairman Cates advised the Board on her appointment of the Nominating Committee to nominate officers for the 2001-2002 Year. Mr. Stevens will chair the Nominating Committee. The other members of the committee are Mr. Davis and Dr. Sanders. The Nominating Committee will present the proposed slate of nominees at the Board's May meeting.

CHANCELLOR'S REMARKS

Chancellor Moeser thanked Chairman Cates for her kind remarks and mentioned her portrayal of Cornelia Phillips Spencer on March 20 during the Chapel Hill Historical Society's re-enactment of Cornelia's ringing of the South Building bell to announce the re-opening of the University after Reconstruction.

Chancellor Moeser acknowledged the tremendous leadership of Dean Robert Sullivan, Kenan-Flagler Business School, whose contacts with Monterrey Tech in Mexico span back to his days at the University of Texas at Austin, where Dean Sullivan created a Doctor of Business Administration Degree jointly between UT and Monterrey Tech. Also, while at Carnegie Mellon University, Dean Sullivan created a similar degree between CMU and Monterrey Tech. He has now done this at the Kenan-Flagler Business School. UNC-Chapel Hill already has in progress a certificate program for Supply Chain Management, which is a certificate in conjunction with UNC-Chapel Hill's MBA Program. Dean Risa Palm, College of Arts and Sciences; Dean Joanne Gard Marshall, School of Information and Library Science; and Dean Madeline Grumet, School of Education, were also in the group visiting Monterrey Tech.

Chancellor Moeser stated that although not on the trip to Mexico, but already engaged in dialogue and programming with Monterrey Tech, are Dean Richard Cole, School of Journalism and Mass Communication (which has a program with the Mexico City Campus of Monterrey Tech) and Dean William Roper, School of Public Health (which has programs in concert with Public Health Management through Kenan-Flagler).

The Chancellor further stated that the possibility exists for a strategic relationship that will create a presence in Mexico for The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. He would like UNC-Chapel Hill to be the East Coast presence for Monterrey Tech. A goal is that every undergraduate student at Carolina will have the opportunity for a significant international experience, and this is a large step along that way. The process is well under way through the creation of joint degree programs.

Chancellor Moeser also commented on the Campus Master Plan. The process began under the leadership of Chancellor Michael Hooker when the University contracted with Ayers Saint Gross to begin the process itself of planning. Thousands and thousands of hours have gone into the effort in the interim. Throughout the process, there has been a tremendous amount of discussion and conversation among those involved and those affected by the plan. There has been give and take and there have been controversies, with tough decisions having been made, and continuing to be made, along the way. However, all this work comes together in the plan being presented to the Board today.

The Chancellor has stated many times since arriving at the University that this master plan is by far the most thoughtful plan with regard to the aesthetic and environmental issues of any plan that he has ever seen. Ayers Saint Gross has done an outstanding job in interpreting this mission and understanding the culture of this very significant place, both the campus and the community, its needs and desires, and translating them into a plan that will work and serve everyone well for the long term. Thus, today's meeting of the Board is very important to the life of this great University, the State of North Carolina, and the nation. This master plan--this road map for development--will have tremendous impact on the future of the campus. The Chancellor commented on his confidence that the plan will help shepherd the University along the path to a new golden age for Carolina, one made possible in large part to the faith of the people of North Carolina, as was demonstrated when they approved the bond referendum last fall allowing the University to move forward in so many significant and positive directions.

The Chancellor commented that this might be one of the most important votes of the Board during their tenure, and, certainly one of the most important votes taken during his tenure as Chancellor. Current and future generations of students and faculty will rely on the Board's judgment today, so too do the citizens of North Carolina and the nation as they turn to Carolina for the leadership in teaching, research, and service that they have come to expect from the University.

Chancellor Moeser also commented on the continued meetings of the town-gown committee, which is looking at issues of interest that will continue to be on the table. At the last meeting of the committee, it was agreed that the University would submit a proposal detailing items and issues the University would like to have addressed by the town. Mayor Waldorf is in the process of preparing a proposal to the University concerning issues of fiscal equity between the town and the campus. Earlier this month, the Chancellor submitted his proposal to the town in the form of a letter to the Mayor, a copy of which was sent to each Board member. Among the items mentioned were the floor-area ratio restriction, the special-use permit for the Smith Center buffer, and the town's development review of University development projects. The Chancellor stated that he looked forward to discussing these items with the town at the next meeting on March 29. Regulatory relief from the town in areas such as those in the proposal are critical to the University's effort to move forward with not only the master planning effort and the bond-funded building projects, but also the effort to fulfill its responsibilities to the State of North Carolina, the nation, and beyond.

Chancellor Moeser commented that the State Auditor's Office conveyed last month that they had completed their financial audit of the University for the year ending June 30, 2000, and found "no instances of noncompliance and no material weaknesses in internal

control." Considering this message against the backdrop of the University receiving the bond dollars from the referendum last fall and increased fiscal flexibility from the state in recent years, the Chancellor stated he thinks that the audit sends an important message to the lawmakers and to the citizens of North Carolina that UNC-Chapel Hill is accountable in its financial practices and the University takes very seriously the responsibility placed on it to wisely steward these funds. He gave credit and praise to the financial staff, not only centrally, but also across the University, in an incredibly decentralized institution to produce this clean bill of health from the State Auditor.

The Chancellor concluded his report by commenting on research funding, specifically the National Institutes of Health funding. He distributed a handout "Research at Carolina, Facts and Major Accomplishments". [A copy of this handout is filed in the Office of the Assistant Secretary.] Carolina's NIH funding for research jumped more than 20% in fiscal year 2000--an amazing accomplishment. Faculty members received \$207 million from the federal agency, up from \$171.3 million the previous year. The University is 13th overall in NIH funding among both private and public institutions nationwide, up from 14th the previous year. UNC-Chapel Hill is the top public university in the South and one of only five in the region, public or private, in the NIH's top 20. These research dollars are coming at a time when the need is critical, yet funding is increasingly competitive. Everyone is working harder than ever to attract these dollars. The faculty generates the proposals and goes after these grant dollars in competition with faculty at the most distinguished and prestigious institutions in the world. The faculty has brought both the financial capital and the intellectual capital back to this campus. The Chancellor emphasized that no one should underestimate the significance of this infusion, not only to the immediate environs of this campus, but indeed the entire State of North Carolina.

All of the Health Affairs schools are in the top 25 in NIH funding. The School of Public Health saw an astounding 118% increase, receiving \$28.8 million, bringing it up to fifth in NIH funding. Nursing saw a 27% increase and was ranked third. Dentistry saw a 13% increase and was also ranked third. Medicine, which receives the lion's share of NIH funding--\$144 million--was up 10% and ranked 15th in the nation. Pharmacy, with \$1.5 million, was ranked 24th. These numbers are just part of a bigger picture. For fiscal 2000, the University's overall research funding topped \$375 million for contracts and grants awarded for research, teaching and public service--a 9% increase. In addition to Health Affairs increases, several academic units also saw significant gains.

The Chancellor stated that this kind of growth is increasingly important as the University's research endeavor expands--both in areas where UNC-Chapel Hill has long been a leader, as well as in new disciplines, such as the \$245 million commitment to genome sciences announced last month. This commitment of resources, public and private, federal and state, will help position the University as a leader in this field. Grant dollars for research will play a key role.

Chancellor Moeser stated that the latest limitations the University has right now in allowing its research to flourish are the physical limitations imposed upon it by inadequate facilities. He cited the School of Pharmacy as an example, where current construction is doubling the square footage; however, the number of staff, faculty, and students working in the building is not being doubled. The faculty is being compressed by squeezing two and three into a room where there should only be one. Decompression will occur when the

space is doubled for the School of Public Health, much the same with new research space in the School of Medicine and in the Science Complex. If the University can grow at 9%, and see NIH funding increasing 20%, with the limitations that we have now in facilities, what is our potential once we unleash our faculty and give them the physical and infrastructure resources they need? This is a tremendous indication of the dedication and quality of the faculty that they are able to be so productive given their limitations.

The Chancellor commented that he and the Provost would shortly announce a short-list panel of candidates to be considered for the position of vice chancellor for research, the last major position of leadership on campus.

The Chancellor introduced Sue Estroff, the chair of the faculty, to speak to the Board of Trustees on behalf of the Faculty Council.

Faculty Chair Remarks

Dr. Estroff commented on the academic calendar. Compared to faculty at peer institutions nationally, their average instructional days are 143 days per year. UNC-Chapel Hill's faculty is at 150 days per year, which is essentially an additional two weeks in the classroom. This compresses the amount of time that the faculty has to work on research proposals and do research. It also compresses the amount of time the faculty has to prepare for teaching and curriculum changes, and also has an impact on students. Students who want to participate in summer programs, research programs, and between-semester programs at other institutions and here, have less time and are less able to do that because the semesters at UNC-Chapel Hill are not in accord with most peer institutions. There were ongoing problems in getting the Robertson Fellows Program established due to the 10-day difference in UNC-Chapel Hill's semester with Duke's semester. To clarify why the calendar is an issue for the faculty, there was a change that happened a few years ago that surprised everyone. The reason for bringing it to the attention of the Board is that the Board's support and understanding, along with the Board of Governors, will be very helpful to the faculty. At the March 23 Faculty Council meeting, a resolution will be introduced to ask the other schools in the UNC System to join in with UNC-Chapel Hill faculty to request a change in the calendar--a re-adjustment, not necessarily a reduction.

Dr. Estroff requested that the Board consider how important parking and transportation are to the faculty. There is a parking and transportation crisis on campus, which impacts the town in addition to the campus. Dr. Estroff requested that in considering the master plan, the Board reconsider the relative insufficiency of attention to on-campus and off-campus transportation and parking.

Dr. Estroff commented on the state health plan from the faculty's prospective. It is not clear to the faculty, staff, or graduate students who are covered by the plan, whether their interests are being best served by this particular plan. Dr. Estroff requested that the Board, along with other leaders, consider whether the University System as a whole should self-insure and to think about other options for health insurance for this group.

Mr. Pardue commented that in the future more and more people would have to depend on park-and-ride systems and asked if the faculty would view that as an acceptable alternative. Dr. Estroff responded that the faculty would like equity in terms of access. If there were a remote parking system where there was reliable, quick bus service, everyone would be fine with that.

Mr. Armfield commented that it would be interesting to see a proposal on the overhead impact from reduced teaching days and still allowing the students the same exposure to the faculty. Dr. Estroff responded that the faculty does not consider this a reduction, but an adjustment and reallocation. Chancellor Moeser commented that the discussion concerns the length of the entire semester, including the final exam period and the stop days in the final exam. The real problem faced by the faculty is that the University is seriously out of sync with most of the other research universities in the country. The Chancellor added that in this case UNC-Chapel Hill's collaboration with Duke University is very important. If we could get the beginning and ending date of our semester in sync with Duke, there would be much greater ease in traveling back and forth on 15-501 for students and for faculty in co-teaching and graduate and undergraduate areas, as well as the Robertson Fellows. UNC-Chapel Hill's semester is as many as ten days out of sync, thus making joint scheduling very difficult.

Dr. Estroff reiterated that most of the learning does not happen directly in the classroom. UNC-Chapel Hill's students are being deprived of opportunities to engage in extremely worthwhile out-of-the-classroom learning experiences--at UNC-Chapel Hill and other universities, both between semesters and during the summers. This has a negative impact on quality and productivity, rather than the other way around--a case in which more is not necessarily better.

STUDENT BODY PRESIDENT'S REMARKS

Mr. Matthews congratulated Chairman Cates on her recent election to the Board of Governors and thanked the members of the Board of Trustees for the Resolution of Appreciation.

Mr. Matthews praised the members of his cabinet and the student body who have been so active and concerned about the issues undertaken during his term as student body president.

He invited the members of the Board to the inauguration of the new student body officers on April 3 at 5:30 p.m.

Mr. Matthews told the members of the Board what a wonderful experience it had been for him to serve on the Board.

Mr. Matthews introduced Mr. Justin Young, the new student body president. Mr. Young, a junior from Georgia, will receive the Oath of Office at the May Board meeting.

FINANCE AND BUSINESS COMMITTEE

Mr. Burnett, chairman of the Finance and Business Committee, introduced the agenda items to the Board.

Campus Master Plan

Mr. Jonathan Howes distributed a packet of information containing lists of the Campus Master Plan committees and meetings held from May 1998 to February 2001, a revised final draft (March 2001) of the Campus Master Plan diagram, and a copy of Mr. Gross's PowerPoint presentation of the Campus Master Plan. [This packet is filed in the Office of the Assistant Secretary.]

Mr. Howes commented on the Campus Master Plan process and stated that the University's Buildings and Grounds Committee, chaired by Professor David Godschalk,

conducted a very careful review of the Campus Master Plan at their meeting on March 1, at which time the committee adopted the following resolution.

"The Buildings and Grounds Committee enthusiastically recommend approval of the Campus Master Plan as developed by Ayers Saint Gross and presented by Jonathan Howes, and commends the plan and the planning process for:

- inclusiveness and environmental responsibility,
- setting the appropriate framework for long term campus development,
- attention to design, landscaping, and aesthetics,
- integration of academic programs, residential facilities, and infrastructure, and
- responsibility to the citizens of the community and state."

Professor Godschalk was present at the Board meeting to respond to any inquires of the Board.

Mr. Howes introduced Mr. Adam Gross of Ayers Saint Gross who presented the Campus Master Plan.

Mr. Thigpen moved approval to adopt the Campus Master Plan as presented by Mr. Gross. Mr. Stevens seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT H)

Mr. Stevens moved that the Board express its support for the improvement of South Columbia Street and direct the Chancellor and his staff to work with appropriate state and local officials and agencies to expedite planning and development for this project. Mr. Hynes, Dr. Jordan, and Mrs. Kitchin seconded the motion.

Mr. Burnett asked the Board if there was any discussion on the motion or if it could be voted on. Mr. Williams requested a sense of the motion. Mr. Burnett responded that the sense of the motion was to direct the Chancellor, working with the State Department of Transportation and the Town of Chapel Hill, to find a way to bring better control and capacity of traffic that's needed on that section of South Columbia to facilitate Health Affairs and the hospital.

Mr. Hynes commented that the Board has an obligation to all citizens to do this as best and as early as it can.

The motion carried.

Approval of the 2001-2002 Ordinance Regulating Traffic and Parking on the Campus of The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Mr. Hynes moved approval of The Ordinance Regulating Traffic and Parking on the Campus of The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill effective August 15, 2001. Mr. Stevens seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT I)

Selection of an Architect for Design of Renovations to Coker Hall at The Institute of Marine Sciences at Morehead City

Mr. Matthews moved approval of the selection of The Walker Group Architecture, Incorporated as the architect for design of the renovations to Coker Hall. Mr. Stevens seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT J)

Selection of an Engineer for Study, Design, and Implementation of a Campus Storm Water Management Plan

Mr. Hynes moved approval of the selection of Andropogon Associates/Cahill Associates as engineers for the study, design, and implementation of a campus storm water management plan. Mr. Pardue seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT K)

Selection of an Engineer for Design of Renovations in the Burnett-Womack Building

Mr. Hynes moved approval of the selection of Newcomb & Boyd as engineers for design of renovations to the Burnett-Womack Building. Mr. Stevens seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT L)

Selection of an Architect for Design of the Science Complex - Phase I

Mr. Stevens inquired concerning the previous UNC-Chapel Hill experience of Wilson Architects. Professor Tom Clegg, a member of the panel interviewing prospective architects, responded that Wilson Architects had not previously worked on this campus; however, they have associated support groups who have worked in the area. The panel quizzed other campuses (Vanderbilt, Tulane, and Hood College) that have used Wilson Architects about their expertise and received outstanding recommendations from these institutions. The panel was persuaded that Wilson Architects could bring along what was necessary to make this work on the UNC-Chapel Hill campus.

Professor Clegg stated that Phase I consists of the construction of two buildings--one in the space between Phillips Hall and Venable Hall, and one in the space between the Kenan Chemistry Tower and Wilson Library. The floor space of the two buildings will be approximately the same as presently in Venable Hall. The intent is at the end of that construction period Venable Hall will be vacated. Thus, the first phase is to make new space for the people who are now in Venable Hall. Venable will then begin to be demolished in Phase II, a parking structure and steam infrastructure plants will go where Venable is, and Phase III will be the beginning of the construction of Venable. This is a tightly integrated project. Professor Clegg complimented the Chancellor and the Board for making this a whole planning process in Phases I, II, and III. He stated that it's absolutely essential that it be done that way and it is believed that Wilson Architects has the expertise to help lead the University through the planning process and, ultimately, to get the space designed and built. They will design and help build the first two buildings, and will assist with the conceptual design for the later stages of Phases I, II, and III.

Mr. Pardue moved approval of William Wilson Architects as the architect for design of the Science Complex, Phase I. Mr. Stevens seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT M)

Selection of Sites for Science Complex - Phases I, II, and III

Mr. Hynes moved approval of the siting of the Science Complex, Phases I, II, and III as shown on the attached map. Mr. Thigpen seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT N)

Approval of Demolition of Venable Hall and the ROTC Building

Mr. Stevens moved approval of the demolition of Venable Hall and the ROTC Building. Mr. Armfield seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT O)

Chancellor Moeser commented that he wanted to send a very clear signal to the Science Faculty of the University's commitment to create the necessary funding through a variety of sources, which will ultimately be brought to the Board, to make sure that we move with all deliberate speed on Phases II and III of the Science Complex.

It was noted that assurance would continue to be given to the ROTC commanders and staff that they will be given suitable space for their new facility.

Acquisition by Lease of Property at Kerr Lake

Mr. Hynes moved approval of the acquisition by lease of approximately 230 acres of land above the 300 MSL elevation contour and non-exclusive use of 36.8 acres of land and water below the 300 MSL elevation at the John H. Kerr Dam and Reservoir, Vance County, North Carolina. The lease term is 25 years commencing on January 1, 2001. The consideration for the lease is \$1 per year. Mr. Thigpen seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT P)

Approval of Road Right-of-Way on Mason Farm Road

Mr. Thigpen moved approval of the dedication of half of a 50-foot right-of-way to the Town of Chapel Hill along the Mason Farm Road frontage of the Bioinformatics Building. Mr. Stevens seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT Q)

Mr. Armfield commented that it would be informative and useful to the Board if prior to each Board meeting a summary of all projects in progress, and contemplated in the near future would be included in their meeting notebooks. Mr. Runberg responded that this would be done beginning with the Board's meeting in May.

Preliminary Design Review of the Addition to Carrington Hall (School of Nursing)

This item was presented for information only and no formal action was required.

Following Mr. Clymer Cease's presentation and discussion with the Board, Mr. Runberg recommended that Pearce, Brinkley, Cease and Lee, work on the design further and the Trustees who have been particularly interested in the design's weaknesses meet with the designer to review the design. Mr. Armfield suggested that the meeting be held on campus.

(ATTACHMENT R)

Preliminary Design Review of the Ramshead Development

This item was presented for information only and no formal action was required.

(ATTACHMENT S)

DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Development Update

Mr. Hynes, chairman of the Development Committee, presented a report regarding the level of activity and current contributions in the Office of University Advancement.

Mr. Hynes announced that October 12, 2001 would be the kickoff date for The Carolina First Campaign. The Campaign's preliminary goal is \$1.5 billion.

MOTION TO CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION

On motion of Mr. Pardue, seconded by Mr. Stevens, the Board voted to convene in closed session pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes Section 143-318.11 (a) (1) (to prevent the disclosure of privileged information under Section 126-22 and the following); and also pursuant to Section 143-318.11 (a) (2), (3), (5), and (6).

CLOSED SESSION

DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Report of the Honorary Degrees and Special Awards Committee

Secretary of the Faculty Joe Ferrell presented five nominees for honorary degrees to be presented at Commencement 2002. Mr. Hynes moved approval of the five nominees. Mr. Burnett and Mr. Stevens seconded the motion and it carried. [A copy of the Report of the Honorary Degrees and Special Awards Committee is filed in the Office of the Assistant Secretary.]

Report of the Naming Committee

Mr. Kupec presented the Report of the Naming Committee. Mr. Armfield moved approval of the naming recommendation. Mr. Thigpen seconded the motion and it carried. [A copy of the Report of the Naming Committee is filed in the Office of the Assistant Secretary.]

Board of Visitors Nominations

Mr. Kupec presented the slate and information concerning nominations for the Class of 2005.

LEGAL ADVICE

Ms. Ehringhaus advised the Board regarding several legal matters.

CONSENT AGENDA/PERSONNEL CHANGES FOR CONSULTATION

Mr. Thigpen moved approval to authorize the Chancellor to forward to the President and the Board of Governors the personnel report and recommendations presented for consultation. Mr. Armfield seconded the motion and it carried.

(ATTACHMENT T)

MOTION TO RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION

Mr. Stevens moved, seconded by Mr. Burnett, that the Board reconvene in open session. The motion carried.

OPEN SESSION

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chairman Cates declared the meeting adjourned at 12:50 p.m.

Assistant Secretary